

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/725,837	Applicant(s) REID, TONY	
	Examiner CLINTON OSTRUP	Art Unit 3771	

All Participants:

(1) CLINTON OSTRUP

(2) Dana Buschmann

Date of Interview: 28 April 2009

Status of Application: Decision on Appeal

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:10 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NO

Claims discussed:

Claim 5

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Clinton Ostrup/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771
 /Justine Yu/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU3771

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner asked Ms. Buschmann if she would like him to amend claim 5, by examiner's Amendment, to reflect the amendment to claim 5 proposed in the Appeal Brief filed 3/26/08. Ms. Buschmann agreed to have the amendment made by Examiner's Amendment. .